

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-49 are canceled.

Independent claims 50-52 are pending.

Dependent claims 53-67 are pending.

Applicant called the Examiner on March 7th and 14th of 2007. The title, abstract, and the claims are amended as discussed by phone and approved by the Examiner.

Numbering Claims -- Corrected

Previous claims 32-49 (shown as claims 29-46 in error) were objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1), wherein the numbering of the claims was incorrect. Applicant would like to apologize to the Examiner for the Examiner's inconvenience and confusion. The numbering is corrected.

The claim number of the independent claim referred in each dependent claim is also corrected accordingly.

Grammatical Error -- Corrected

Previous claims 32-49 (shown as claims 29-46 in error) were also objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) due to the phrase "one or more of arm joint wrinkles".

The grammatical error is corrected by amending to the phrase "one or more arm joint wrinkles".

Indefinite Expression -- Corrected

Previous claims 32 (shown as 29 in error) and 34 (shown as 31 in error) were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph since the phrase “said wrinkle of a second length” lacked antecedent basis. The phrase is corrected to “a wrinkle of a second length”.

Other Changes

In order to clarify each claim without changing the scope thereof, some changes are made with the Examiner’s approval, such as:

- (a) Changing the phrase “arm joint wrinkle displaying method” to “computer implemented arm joint wrinkle simulation method” as shown in the new claims 50-67;
- (b) Changing the phrase “said 1st amount is a whole number which includes the number of said wrinkle image of said 1st length” to “said 1st amount includes the number of said wrinkle image of said 1st length wherein said 1st amount is a whole number” as shown in the new claim 52;
- (c) Changing step (b) of new claims 50-52 from “displaying said image of said object on said display” to “simulating said one or more arm joint wrinkles on said object”; and
- (d) Changing the phrase “is/are displayed by utilizing light colors and dark colors” to “is/are expressed by light colors and dark colors” in new claims 54, 59, and 64.

Conclusion

For all of the above reasons, applicant submits that the claims all define patentably over the prior art. Therefore, applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance, which action applicant respectfully solicits.

Conditional Request Constructive Assistance

Applicant has amended the claims so that they are proper, definite, and define novel structure which is also unobvious. If, for any reason this application is not believed to be in full condition for allowance, applicant respectfully requests the constructive assistance and suggestions of the Examiner pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 2173.02 and § 707.07(j) in order that applicant can place this application in allowable condition as soon as possible and without the need for further proceedings.

Misc.

Applicant has no intent to limit the scope of the claims presented in this amendment by the previous amendments submitted by applicant.

Applicant has no intent to surrender any equivalent of any element included in the claims by any amendment, whether previously or subsequently submitted, of the claims unless expressly and unambiguously stated otherwise in the amendment.

Applicant has no intent to limit the scope or deny the patentability of this application by other applications filed by applicant.

Applicant has no intent to limit the scope or deny the patentability of other applications filed by applicant by this application.

No new matter is added by this amendment.

Best Regards,



Date: 2007-03-17

Iwao Fujisaki

Tel: +81-422-46-5882

Fax: +81-422-46-5882